For very special loadings it is possible, however, that more than one value of a critical force is physically meaningful This was brought out in a recent investigation of the present writers,2 in which it was found that a two-degree-of-freedom system may possess multiple stable and unstable ranges of the The number of physically meaningful critical values of load the load has to be odd, because, before a higher critical value is reached, the system, under gradually increasing loads, has to become stable again

Even such type of loading, however, could hardly be held responsible for the scatter of shell buckling loads As pointed out by Bolotin,3 not a single experiment has ever been carried out in which buckling would have been produced by a nonconservative static force The fact of the matter is that such forces are quite easily introduced into the analytical treatment of a model by means of arrows, but their realizability in a test presents great difficulties Niedenfuhr expects that fluid pressure forces acting on a shell are nonconservative, but this would be true only if it were possible to exert this pressure over a limited area of the shell surface, without applying any other forces, as discussed more fully in Ref 3

Two further aspects of dynamic buckling under nonconservative static forces render its usefulness even more questionable for the purpose of comparing analytical and experimental buckling results The first concerns the peculiar role of damping played in such systems Even vanishing damping, in general, lowers the buckling loads and makes it depend in a two-degree-of-freedom system on the ratio of damping of constants for each generalized coordinate if the loads were nonconservative, damping should have been included in the analysis

The second aspect is the following In the absence of damping, the dynamic buckling load is characterized by two natural frequencies approaching each other as the loading increases and coinciding at the critical value of the loading It is known, however, from the theory of stability of motion that, whenever two frequencies coincide, the usual stability criteria of Routh-Hurwitz might lead to erroneous results, and then a nonlinear analysis has to be carried out Thus, the buckling loads determined from a "small" vibration analysis might be quite inaccurate, and no good correlation with experiments, even if it were possible to carry them out, is to be expected

References

¹ Niedenfuhr, F W, "Scatter of observed buckling loads of

pressurized shells, AIAA J 1, 1923–1925 (1963)

² Herrmann, G and Bungay, R W, "On the stability of elastic systems subjected to nonconservative forces," J Appl Mech (to be published)

³ Bolotin, V V, Nonconservative Problems of the Theory of Elastic Stability (Pergamon Press, New York, 1963)

Reply by Author to G Herrmann and R W Bungay

F W NIEDENFUHR* The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio

HAVING studied the writers' arguments in the preceding comment, the author remains unconvinced of their The point of the example in the original note is that, even though the parameters of a system have become such as to render it susceptible to dynamic failure, the system may still be statically stable The dynamic modes of deformation may then provide a mechanism for the system to

Received March 11 1964

pass from one branch to another of the static equilibrium locus by paths that do not lie on this locus and that may bypass static critical loads As to the scatter, firstly, the fact that a real system may be susceptible to dynamic failure does not mean that it must fail, merely that it will fail if it is subjected to the proper disturbance The load level at which this disturbance is introduced is generally an indeterminate quantity Secondly, the precise load level at which a system becomes susceptible to dynamic failure can in a real system be affected by assembly details, particularly by the amount of dry friction present It is clear, for instance, that dry friction in the hinge in the middle of the compound bar of the original example will profoundly influence the Beck load for the system It is difficult to judge the appropriateness of the writers' Ref 2 since it has not, as of this writing, appeared in print

The author believes that Bolotin's statement (Ref 3 of preceding comment) here is beside the point The unsteady hydrodynamic forces associated with large local deformations of the shell are surely not completely conservative only question is the effect of the nonconservative components of these forces Their control or elimination in a test admittedly presents great difficulties, but their realization is almost unavoidable

The term "dynamic buckling" here is perhaps an unfortunate one in that it does not illuminate the mechanism of the failure which is precisely the same as that of subsonic wing flutter Indeed, "flutter buckling" would be a much more descriptive term Making use of the analogy thus introduced, one can envision how the introduction of damping might affect the buckling load either downward by increasing the coupling between modes or upward by adding to the effective stiffness of the system

It is of course true that a nonlinear analysis is necessary to determine the buckled configuration of a system All that a linear analysis can do is to determine the critical loads (and even these may even be affected by the choice of coordinates, as is pointed out in Ref 1) In this connection, however, the following theorem due to Lyapunov gives the engineer some faith in the efficacy of linear analysis

) be functions of the dynamical variables Let $F_i(x_1,x_2,$ which are of at least second degree in the x's, and consider the so-called linearizable system given by $x_i = a_{ij}x_j + F_i(x_1,x_2,$

), where the a_{ij} are constants Then, according to Lyapunov, if the linearized system $\dot{x}_i = a_{ij}x_j$ is stable (in the sense of having the real parts of each of its characteristic numbers be negative), the original system is stable no matter what the functions F_i may be

Reference

¹ Rzhanitsun, A R, Ustoichivost' Ravnovesiya Uprugich Sistem (Stability of Equilibrium of Elastic Systems) (Gosudarstvennoe Izdatel'stro Tekhniko Teoreticheskoi Literaturi, Moscow, 1955)

Calculation of Gravitational Force Components

R J Mercer*

Aerospace Corporation, El Segundo, Calif

HE components of the earth gravitational force are com-■ puted as the gradient of an assumed geopotential function When the function is simple, perhaps involving only a few of the zonal harmonics, it and its gradient may reasonably be stated directly in terms of rectangular position co-

Received February 11, 1964

^{*} Professor of Engineering Mechanics Associate Fellow Member AIAA

^{*} Member of the Technical Staff, Computation and Data Processing Center Member AIAA